

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| | 09/887,625 | 06/22/2001 | | Yoshihiko Makino | JG-YY-5090 / 500569.20069 | 7410 | |
| | 7590 03/25/2003 | | | | | | |
| | REED SMIT | | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | 375 Park Avenue New York, NY 10152 | | | | CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K | | |
| | | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | | 1634 | | |
| | | | | | DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/887,625 Applicant(s)

Makino Art Unit

Examiner



| | | Arun Chakrabarti | 1634 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet with the corres | pondence addre | ss | | | | | |
| There reject allowa | REPLY FILED <u>Feb 25, 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE T fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoic nunder 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR F | oid the abandonment of this appl nely filed amendment which place | ication. A prop es the application | er reply to a final on in condition for | | | | | |
| a) | The period for reply expires 3 months from the | • | | | | | | | |
| b) | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST See MPEP 706.07(f). | nis Advisory Action, or (2) the date set or reply expire later than SIX MONTHS | from the mailing | date of the | | | | | |
| ext ap _l set | tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determination of the control of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if clailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce the control of the contro | ning the period of extension and the c m: (1) the expiration date of the short necked. Any reply received by the Off | orresponding amo ened statutory per ice later than thre | unt of the fee. The riod for reply originally e months after the | | | | | |
| 1. 🗆 | A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR | Appellant's Brief must be filed 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | within the per the appeal. | iod set forth in | | | | | |
| 2. X | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | | |
| (a) | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (b) | they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) | d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | | |
| | NOTE: The amendment especially the phrase, "consists essentially of" raises new issues that would require further consideration and search. Please see attached sheet. | | | | | | | | |
| 3. 🗆 | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti | on(s): | | | | | | | |
| 4. 🗆 | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the no | on-allowable claim(s). | ıld be allowable | if submitted in | | | | | |
| 5. 🗆 | The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request application in condition for allowance because: | for reconsideration has been cons | sidered but doe | s NOT place the | | | | | |
| 6. 🗆 | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca by the Examiner in the final rejection. | use it is not directed SOLELY to | issues which w | ere newly raised | | | | | |
| 7. X | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou |) a) $\overline{\!\! X}$ will not be entered or b) $\overline{\ }$ uld be rejected is provided below | will be entered or appended. | and an | | | | | |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> | | | | | | | | |
| - 17 | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| 8. 🗆 | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | | | | | | | |
| 9. □ 10. □ (| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement Other: | (s) (PTO-1449) Süpervisüry Pat Technology 4 | FENT EXAMINER | | | | | | |
| | ouis. | TECHNUL USAY | MILL / - | ~_ | | | | | |
| | d Trademark Office (Rev. 04-01) Advis | sory Action | Part of P | aper No. 0303 | | | | | |

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

The proposed amendment was not entered because it is not clear what is encompassed by the phrase, "consists essentially of". In absence of a definition of the term "essentially" in the specification, it is not clear what is essential for the claimed invention as "essentially" is a relative term.